

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,994	03/21/2005	Howard James Smith	06044	8764	
23338 75	590 09/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	SCHULTZ & MACDO	DUCKWORTH, BRADLEY			
1727 KING ST SUITE 105	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		3632		
			DATE MAILED: 00/06/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/510,994	SMITH, HOWARD	IAMES		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bradley H. Duckworth	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ess		
WHIC - External after - If NC -, Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	From the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133)	·		
Status			_			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
		-· action is non-final.	:			
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowar		nrosecution as to the m	porite ie		
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under E		·	ieriis is		
		x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 400 O.G. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		· ·			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	• :			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		İ			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		4			
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
			noo nodon or form i i o	102.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		٠.			
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appli	cation No			
	$3. \boxtimes$ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rec	eived in this National St	age		
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	•		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
			•			
	4.		•			
Attachment		🗖 .	:			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) 🔀 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1.		nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		
•	_	-,				

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because multiple reference characters have been used to designate more then one part. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. In general it appears that there are two sets of drawings, those labeled 1-5 and those labeled 1A-7A. These drawings share numerous reference characters for different parts. It is also unclear as to how the elements of the drawings

Art Unit: 3632

are assembled. It is required to have a separate reference number for each part and the same number for the same part in all the drawings.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: at numerous locations in the specification, reference is made to a particular numeral in a particular drawing that does not in fact appear in the referenced drawing. This problem is further compounded by the fact that in most instances the referenced numeral appears in a different drawing as a different element. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 22A and 23A in figure 1A. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/510,994 Page 4

Art Unit: 3632

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

Art Unit: 3632

(g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

(h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Page 5

- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement. See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

(f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

- (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Art Unit: 3632

(j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (I) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the specification is not in the preferred layout as detailed above and does not coincide with the drawings in such a way as to make the disclosure comprehensible. In general it is unclear as to what the claimed invention is, examination was conducted to the best of the examiner's understanding of the disclosed invention.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive

Art Unit: 3632

characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The use of annular guide tracks on the first and second portions that are rotatably engaged together is not disclosed in the specification. The use of an annular track on one of the portions is however.

The following-is-a-quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 26 recites the limitation "the support means" in last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purposes of examination it was assumed that the support means was referring to the load supported form the fourth post.

Art Unit: 3632


Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102


The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.


Claims 14-17, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nicholson(US5963749). Referring to figure 1 and in regards to claim 14, Nicholson discloses a camera support which supports a camera from below its center of gravity and allows relative rotation between the support and the article about a predetermined axis, in this case along the optical axis of the camera. In regards to claim 15 the predetermined axis is definable and would change with horizontal location of the support. In regards to claim 16 in figure 1, the support has first and second portions 29 and 32 whereby the camera is eccentrically mounted on portion 29 and portion 32 can rotate while the camera remains stationary. In regards to claim 17 the camera can rotate around its optical axis while remaining aligned with said axis. In regards to claim 19 Nicholson discloses in C9 L24-52 that a motor is used to provide rotation between the first and second portions. In regards to claim 20 and referring to figure 2, element 38 is a pinion gear that can be engaged in combination with ".. gear/belt/chain 39 or similar means."(C9 L47-48) which is on the first portion. In regards to claim 21 the rotational axis is aligned with the camera optical axis.





Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holway(US6293676). Referring to figure 3, Holway teaches a camera support with a

first elongate post section(4) a second elongate post section(3) adapted at one end to mount an article, further adapted to telescopically engage the first post section, a third post section(9) telescopically engaged with the first post section, and a fourth elongate post section 10 adapted to support a load (12) and a gimbals unit located between the ends of the first post section, which further allows pivotal movement between the support means(12 or 10) and the unit posts sections.



Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholson(US5963749) in view of Holway(US6293676). In regards to claim 22 Nicholson teaches all the preceding limitations as detailed above, however Nicholson does not teach a main post section with at least two telescopically engaged post sections that can be independently positioned with respect to opposite sides of the first section. Holway teaches such a telescoping post as described above and shown in figure 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the rotatable camera support means of Nicholson with the telescoping post of Holway to make a camera support which could provide rotation about a predetermined axis and having a telescoping post section to replace the nontelescoping post section of Nicholson. Referring to figure 3, and in regards to claims

Art Unit: 3632

23-25, the telescoping posts of Holway further comprise position adjustment elements (4A and 9A) coupled to the main post section, a gimbals unit(5) with a sleeve that is lockable by element 5A to the main post section, which further comprises a pivotally mounted support handle(5) on said gimbals unit.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is attached in the accompanying form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley H. Duckworth whose telephone number is 571-272-2304. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8/29/06

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600